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#### Abstract

The reactivity of the nickel(I) dimer $[(\text { dippe }) \mathrm{Ni}(\mu-\mathrm{H})]_{2}(1)$ with biphenyl-2-thiol was explored with the aim of clarifying the key step of sulfur extrusion during the hydrodesulfurization process using dibenzothiophene (DBT). These reactions were monitored by variable temperature NMR experiments which allowed the complete characterization and isolation of [(dippe) $)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{H})(\mu-\mathrm{S}-2$-biphenyl)] (3). The latter compound evolves to the terminal nickel-hydride [(dippe) Ni ( $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}-2$-biphenyl)(H)] (4) and transient [(dippe) NiS$]$ (5), to ultimately yield [(dippe) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{S})\right]$ (2) and biphenyl as the resulting HDS products. The reactivity of 1 and biphenyl-2-thiol was examined using different ratios of reactants, which allowed preparation of [(dippe) $\left.\mathrm{Ni}\left(\eta^{1}-S \text {-biphenyl-2-thiolate }\right)_{2}\right](6)$ when using an excess of this substrate. The reactivity of $\mathbf{6}$ with $\mathbf{1}$ was addressed, yielding compound $\mathbf{2}$ and an equivalent amount of biphenyl.


## Introduction

The removal of sulfur from petroleum and other fossil feedstocks is extremely relevant worldwide given the necessity to decrease the atmospheric pollution caused by emission of sulfur oxides as a result of internal combustion processes. ${ }^{1}$ The industrial process used to remove sulfur-containing compounds is known as catalytic hydrodesulfurization, or HDS, and typically uses heterogeneous cobalt or nickel-doped molybdenum sulfide catalysts supported over alumina to do so. ${ }^{2}$ The latter catalysts, however, oftentimes face severe difficulties in the removal of alkyl dibenzothiophene (DBT) derivatives, such as 4-methyldibenzothiophene (4-MeDBT) and 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene ( $4,6-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{DBT}$ ) from the fossil feedstock, substantially reducing the efficacy of the process, the latter compounds being the major residual organosulfur components present in the feedstock. ${ }^{3}$

Numerous mechanistic proposals addressing the HDS of DBT have been reported with the aim of understanding the elementary steps involved, and in doing so improve the efficiency of the catalysts. ${ }^{1-3}$ The proposals normally suggest two main reaction routes based on experimental ${ }^{4}$ and theoretical ${ }^{5}$ observations, the

[^0]first of which considers hydrogenation of an aromatic ring prior to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond cleavage of the thiophenic ring, yielding a cyclohexyl-benzene derivative, whereas the second (known as direct desulfurization) proposes the cleavage of a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond to occur first as a result of hydrogenolysis or by elimination through a dihydro-DBT intermediate, ${ }^{6}$ leading in both cases to the formation of biphenyl-2-thiol. The latter product is subsequently desulfurized to produce biphenyl as the sulfur-free product. Of note, the step by which sulfur is extruded in the HDS reaction is not yet fully understood, and thus a direct extrusion has often been invoked to justify the formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ as the final fate of sulfur (Scheme 1). ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}, 4,5}$ Some reports of desulfurization of DBT and aromatic thiols by polymetallic complexes have appeared but have only furnished a few mechanistic details. ${ }^{7}$
(4) (a) Wang, H.; Prins, R. J. Catal. 2008, 258, 153. (b) Li, X.; Wang, A.; Egorova, M.; Prins, R. J. Catal. 2007, 250, 283. (c) RabarihoelaRakotovao, V.; Brunet, S.; Perot, G.; Diehl, F. Appl. Catal., A 2006, 306, 34. (d) Bej, S. K.; Maity, S. K.; Turaga, U. T. Energy Fuels 2004, 18, 1227. (e) Knudsen, K. G.; Cooper, B. H.; Topsøe, H. Appl. Catal., A 1999, 189, 205. (f) Michaud, P; Lemberton, J. L; Pérot, G. Appl. Catal., A 1998, 169, 343. (g) Landau, M. V. Catal. Today 1997, 36, 393. (h) Landau, M. V.; Berger, D.; Herskowitz, M. J. Catal. 1996, 159, 236.
(5) (a) Weber, T.; van Veen, J. A. R. Catal. Today 2008, 130, 170. (b) Moses, P. G.; Hinnemann, B.; Topsøe, H.; Nørskov, J. K. J. Catal. 2007, 248, 188. (c) Cristol, S.; Paul, J. F.; Payen, E.; Bougeard, D.; Hutschka, F.; Clémendot, S. J. Catal. 2004, 224, 138. (d) Rozanska, X.; Saintigny, X.; van Santen, R. A.; Clémendot, S.; Hutschka, F. J. Catal. 2002, 208, 89.
(6) (a) Bataille, F.; Lemberton, J. L.; Michaud, P.; Pérot, G.; Vrinat, M.; Lemaire, M.; Schulz, E.; Breysse, M.; Kasztelan, S. J. Catal. 2000, 191, 409. (b) Meille, V.; Schulz, E.; Lemaire, M; Vrinat, M. J. Catal. 1997, 170, 29. (c) Singhal, G. H.; Espino, R. L.; Sobel, J. E. J. Catal. 1981, 67, 446.
(7) (a) Matsubara, K.; Okamura, R.; Tanaka, M.; Suzuki, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 1108. (b) Curtis, M. D.; Druker, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 1027. (c) Chehata, A.; Oviedo, A.; Arévalo, A.; Bernes, S.; Garcia, J. J. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1585.

Scheme 1. Routes for the Hydrogenation and Direct Desulfurization of DBT during HDS
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With the aim of contributing to the understanding of the abovementioned extrusion step, we present herein our findings regarding the reactivity of biphenyl-2-thiol in the presence of [(dippe) $\mathrm{Ni}(\mu$ $\mathrm{H})]_{2}(\mathbf{1})$. In these studies, the facile cleavage of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond under mild conditions is investigated using VT-NMR experiments as well as isolation of key intermediates, allowing for a better understanding of the sulfur extrusion step and giving insightful information concerning the overall HDS process of DBT.

## Results and Discussion

Reactivity of $[(\text { dippe }) \mathrm{NiH}]_{2}$ (1) with Biphenyl-2-thiol. Equimolecular Reaction. Reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with biphenyl-2-thiol in (1:1) ratio at room temperature yielded the compound $\left[(\text { dippe })_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{S})\right](2)$ and free biphenyl, showing that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond cleavage of biphenyl-2-thiol proceeds under very mild conditions. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR analysis of 2 confirmed a fluxional behavior for this compound with a coalescence temperature of $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}=22.59 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$, Figure S 3 , Supporting Information). Upon cooling to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of this compound in THF- $d_{8}$ solution displayed two triplets centered at $\delta 71.38$ and 75.13 , with ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}}$ of 23 Hz , consistent with previously reported data for this unusual $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{I})$ compound (eq 1). ${ }^{8}$


Sequential VT NMR spectra ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ ) in the interval of -80 to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were recorded for the addition of 1 equiv of biphenyl-2-thiol to $\mathbf{1}$ in THF- $d_{8}$ (Figure 1). The formation of a major compound at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was observed, as evidenced by the appearance of a broad singlet in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum centered at $\delta 68.66$ and a simultaneous appearance of a quintet in the hydride region of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\delta-15.86,{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}}\right.$ $=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The latter quintet is indicative of a hydride coupled to four phosphorus atoms in the same chemical environment. This species was proposed to be $\left[(\text { dippe })_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{H})(\mu-S-2-\right.$ biphenyl)] (3), and could be isolated by crystallization at low temperature. A single crystal X-ray structure confirmed this assignment (Figure 2). A summary of the crystallographic details is presented in Table 1.

[^1]Compound $\mathbf{3}$ can be envisaged as a model for an intermediate during the industrial HDS processes, many of which often use nickel as a promoting metal. ${ }^{2,3,9}$ In particular, it depicts a bridging hydride as well as a bridging biphenyl-2-thiolate in between two Ni centers ( $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Ni}$ bond distance, 2.5124(4) $\AA$ ). The nickel atoms exhibit different geometries, with $\mathrm{Ni}(1)$ being described as displaying a distorted square planar coordination of its surrounding ligands, while $\mathrm{Ni}(2)$ exhibits a distorted tetrahedral geometry. In light of this structure, it is proposed that the different geometries around each nickel center promote the fluxional behavior in solution, such that only a singlet is observed in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum. The latter features (asymmetry around the nickel centers and in the bridging moieties) are rare, and although structures that contain two bridging biphenyl-2-thiolate moieties between metal centers have been reported in the past, ${ }^{10}$ to the best of our knowledge only in one other case has the presence of a bridging biphenyl-2thiolate and a hydride been reported. ${ }^{11}$

Additional analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ VT-NMR spectra (Figure 1) provided evidence for the presence of a nickel hydride centered at $\delta-10.443\left(d d,{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}}=81.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ when the solution was cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The appearance of the hydride signal was accompanied by the simultaneous appearance of two broad singlets at $\delta 73.48$ and 75.61 in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ VT-NMR spectrum at the same temperature, and were attributed to the formation of a terminal nickel(II) hydride with formula, [(dippe) $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\eta^{1}-C\right.$ -2-biphenyl)(H)] (4), based on similar observations made when expressly preparing compounds of this type. ${ }^{12}$ Conversion of $\mathbf{3}$ into the terminal hydride compound $\mathbf{4}$ was observed in the VTNMR experiments while gradually increasing the temperature. The evolution of the latter compound into compound $\mathbf{2}$ and free biphenyl was also observed in the same experiment as the temperature was increased to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, suggesting compounds 3 and 4 to be consecutive intermediates of the HDS process. Scheme 2 illustrates these conclusions.

The formation of compound $\mathbf{3}$ is proposed to occur in the first step of the reaction, which involves the net elimination of molecular hydrogen from the bridging nickel(I) dimer and addition of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{H}$ to the bimetallic system, the details of which are not known. A cooperative effect between the metals in the different coordination geometries exhibited in $\mathbf{3}$ (vide supra) could be implicated after this step, as stripping of the biphenyl moiety from the sulfur atom is accompanied by cleavage of the dimer, which results in 1e oxidation of the two nickel(I) centers generating both the terminal hydride compound 4 and a nickel sulfide, [(dippe) $\mathrm{Ni}=\mathrm{S}$ ] (5). Formation of this sulfide has been reported previously by our group in closely related desulfurization experiments and is known to undergo rapid association to yield new bridged compounds. ${ }^{13}$ Reductive elimination of biphenyl from compound 4 provides a clear rationale for the formation of free biphenyl and a highly reactive

[^2]

Figure 1. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ VT-NMR experiments in THF- $d_{8}$ of the equimolecular reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with biphenyl-2-thiol.
$14 \mathrm{e}\left[(\right.$ dippe $\left.) \mathrm{Ni}^{0}\right]$ fragment from which the sulfide $\left[(\text { dippe })_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2}(\mu\right.$ S)], 2, is ultimately produced. The latter nickel(I) dinuclear compound acts as the final fate of sulfur in this reaction scheme ${ }^{3 a}$ and is consistent with the general mechanism for the hydrogenolysis of biphenyl-2-thiol on the industrial scale, as illustrated in Scheme 1. These observations inherently provide direct evidence for the role that nickel may play in the heterogeneous catalyst surface as a dopant, by allowing bimetallic interactions
with HDS metals in order to promote desulfurization of the thiols present in the fossil feedstock.

Reactivity of $\quad[(\text { dippe }) \mathrm{NiH}]_{2}$ (1) and 2 equiv of Biphenyl-2-thiol. Exploration of reactivity using compound 1 and 2 equiv of biphenyl-2-thiol at room temperature was undertaken in the aim of studying the effect that a larger amount of this substrate would have on the outcome of the HDS reaction. Formation of 2 was observed in this reaction as


Figure 2. ORTEP representation of 3. The location of hydride H1 was determined by isotropic refinement. Ellipsoids are shown at the $50 \%$ probability level. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ : $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{Ni}(2)$ 2.5124(4); $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1) 1.63(3) ; \mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{H}(1) 1.52(3) ; \mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1) 2.2687(6) ; \mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ 2.1443(6); $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ 2.1736(6); $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ 2.1434(6); $\mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ 2.1444(7); $\mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ 2.1448(7). Selected bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): \mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ 94.50(2); $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1) 88.4(10) ; \mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2) 87.2(10) ; \mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ 91.01(2); $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3) 126.02(3) ; \mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{H}(1) 96.2(11) ; \mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ $111.9(11) ; \mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{H}(1) 111.7(11) ; \mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1) 35.6(10)$.
expected, although to our surprise, the appearance of two additional byproducts (compounds 6 and 7 ) was also observed (eq 2). No change in the distribution of products was observed even after prolonged heating at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5 \mathrm{~d}(2(45 \%), 6$ (54\%), and 7 (1\%)).


Compound 6 was formulated as a monometallic product containing two biphenyl-2-thiolate moieties coordinated to a nickel(II) center, displaying a singlet in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture (THF- $d_{8}, \delta 78.39$ ). 7 was detected as a trace byproduct, which gave rise to two doublets with ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}}=39.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ centered at $\delta 69.11$ and 78.56 in the same spectrum. The magnitude of the coupling constants of the two phosphorus environments in 7 was consistent with that of a $\mathrm{Ni}($ II $)$ complex and was assigned to $\left[(\right.$ dippe $) \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{SH})\left(\eta^{1-}\right.$ biphenyl)] (7), the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ oxidative addition product. Compound 7 gave rise to a broad signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the mixture located at $\delta-1.03$, and this was assigned in turn to the sulfhydryl group attached to the nickel(II) center.

In the aim of providing proof for the formulation of compound 6, independent preparation was accomplished by reacting lithium biphenyl-2-thiolate (LiS-biphenyl) with [(dippe) $\left.\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\right]$, at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This reaction resulted in the formation of a red solid which displayed a singlet at $\delta 78.39$ in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum (THF- $d_{8}$ solution, eq 3 ).


Single crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination were grown from a THF solution at room temperature. Figure 3 shows the ORTEP representation of this compound, exhibiting a square planar geometry around the nickel(II) center. Crystallographic details related to this determination are presented in Table 1.

The reaction of 1 with 2 equiv of biphenyl-2-thiol was also followed by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ VT-NMR, as described above for the equimolecular reaction, going from -80 to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Figure 4 shows the progressive change in the signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ VTNMR spectra.

Formation of compound 6 was detected as the first process, indicated by the appearance of a singlet at $\delta 78.39$ in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum at the low temperature limit of $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On raising the temperature to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the growth of a new singlet at $\delta 68.66$ for $\mathbf{3}$ was observed, accompanied by the simultaneous appearance of a hydride signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum at $\delta$ -15.865 . The conversion of $\mathbf{6}$ into the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{H}$ oxidative addition compound 3 suggests that the HDS route proposed for the equimolecular system is the natural end-route of the HDS process. The fact that this should take place (i.e., the conversion of 6 into 3 ) was interpreted as an indication of the overall reactivity that $\mathbf{6}$ may exhibit in the presence of trace hydride compounds in the reaction mixture. Therefore, the reactivity of $\mathbf{6}$ with $\mathbf{1}$ was examined. Scheme 3 shows that formation of $\mathbf{2}$ and biphenyl is observed, suggesting compound 3 to be an intermediate in the reaction of the hydride dimer with the bisthiolate precursor, again an indication of the bimetallic character that these nickel-mediated transformations have.

Reactivity of $[(\text { dippe }) \mathrm{NiH}]_{2}(1)$ in the Presence of 4 equiv of Biphenyl-2-thiol. Following our previous discussion on the reactivity of compound $\mathbf{1}$ in the presence of excess biphenyl-2-thiol, 1 equiv of $\mathbf{1}$ was reacted in the presence of 4 equiv of the latter substrate. The selective formation of $\mathbf{6}$ was observed by NMR spectroscopy (eq 4), consistent with the prior observation while using a 1:2 ratio and suggested the formation of the bis-thiolate to be the initial step of reactivity preceding HDS as discussed above.


To note, the model reactions presented here include a metal coordinated to soft phosphorus and sulfur atoms. The best evidence for the interaction of a substrate with $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ have been provided by STM studies by Lauritsen et al., ${ }^{14}$ in which they show that an edge vacancy is created by sulfur removal. This geometry places the metal between two "soft" sulfur donors, very similar to our model compounds.

## Conclusions

The current work provides insight into the HDS reaction of biphenyl-2-thiol. Biphenyl-2-thiol is proposed as an organic intermediate formed during the HDS of DBT and precedes the

[^3]Table 1. Summary of Crystallographic Data for Compounds 3 and 6

|  | 3 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NiP}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| formula weight | 828.35 | 691.55 |
| temperature (K) | 100.0(1) | 105.0(1) |
| wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| crystal system | monoclinic | orthorhombic |
| space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ | $F d d 2$ |
| unit cell dimensions | $a=22.369(3) \AA$ | $a=30.896(4) \AA$ |
|  | $b=10.9191(15) \AA$ | $b=50.891(7) \AA$ |
|  | $c=19.087(3) \AA$ | $c=9.0942(13) \AA$ |
|  | $\beta=110.827(2)^{\circ}$ | $\beta=90^{\circ}$ |
| volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 4357.4(10) | 14299(3) |
| Z | 4 | 16 |
| density (calcd) $\left(\mathrm{gcm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.263 | 1.285 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 1.085 | 0.775 |
| $F(000)$ | 1784 | 5888 |
| crystal color | black | red |
| crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.26 \times 0.24 \times 0.20$ | $0.16 \times 0.16 \times 0.06$ |
| $\theta$ range (deg) | $1.95-32.57$ | $2.07-32.58$ |
| no. reflns collected | 77743 | 47236 |
| no. independent reflns | $15799[R($ int $)=0.0608]$ | $12856[R(\mathrm{int})=0.0837]$ |
| observed reflns | 10710 | 9768 |
| absorption correction | multiscan | multiscan |
| max and min transmission | 0.8122 and 0.7657 | 0.9550 and 0.8861 |
| refinement method | full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ | full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| data/restraints/parameters | 15799/8/468 | 12856/1/396 |
| GOF on $F^{2}$ | 1.020 | 1.012 |
| final $R$ indices [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0447, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0931$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0471, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0768$ |
| $R$ índices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0791, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1079$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0758, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0863$ |

Scheme 2. Reaction Scheme for the HDS Process Undertaken over Biphenyl-2-thiol Using 1.

extrusion step by which hydrogen sulfide is produced while undergoing hydrogenolysis. Nickel is commonly used as a dopant in the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ catalyst for this process, and as encountered in this work, formation of an asymmetric compound with distinct coordination geometries, namely [(dippe) $\mathrm{Ni}(\mu-\mathrm{H})(\mu-S$-2-biphenyl)] (3), may suggest that bimetallic assistance of metal centers during HDS could be involved in the ultimate success of the process. Compound 3 plays a key role for this matter. The scission of this compound into monomeric entities then drives
the extrusion of sulfur from the thiol and results in the formation of $\left[(\text { dippe })_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{2}(\mu-S)\right]$ (2) and free biphenyl as the final HDS products.

## Experimental Section

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk and glovebox techniques under argon (Praxair 99.998). THF and benzene (Aldrich) were dried and distilled from dark-purple solutions of sodium/benzophenone ketyl. Toluene (Aldrich) was


Figure 3. ORTEP representation of 6. Ellipsoids are shown at the 50\% probability level. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ): $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ 2.1728(7); $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2) \quad 2.1831(7) ; \mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ 2.2298(7); $\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ 2.2252(7). Selected bond angles (deg): $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2) 174.84(3) ; \mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ 172.58(3); $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1) 86.06(3) ; \mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2) 87.46(3) ; \mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ 88.60(3); $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2) 98.16(3)$.
dried and distilled from sodium. Deuterated solvents were dried and distilled before use from sodium/benzophenone ketyl. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra were acquired on an AVANCE400 spectrometer in THF- $d_{8}$ unless otherwise stated. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$, ppm) in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are reported relative to the residual proton signals of the deuterated solvent, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra are relative to external $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. Biphenyl-2-thiol was obtained from John C. DiCesare, Department of Chemistry, University of Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma, and was used without further purification. $n$-Butyllithium was purchased from Aldrich. The syntheses of $\left[(\text { dippe }) \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\right]^{15}$ and $\left[(\right.$ dippe $) \mathrm{NiH}_{2}{ }_{2}{ }^{\text {w }}$ were carried out following the reported procedures.

Equimolecular Reaction of 1 with Biphenyl-2-thiol at Room Temperature, Selective Preparation of $\left[(\text { dippe })_{2} \mathbf{N i}_{2}(\mu-S)\right]$ (2). A THF- $d_{8}$ solution of compound $1(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol})$ was prepared in the glovebox, and to it was added biphenyl-2-thiol $(0.0143 \mathrm{~g}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The color of the solution immediately changed from wine-red to dark-brown. All
$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas produced from reaction was vented to the glovebox for a period of 5 min , during which evolution of gas ceased completely and the remaining reaction mixture was transferred to a NMR tube equipped with J-Young valve. Analysis of the NMR spectra indicated the formation of 2 as the sole product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.016-1.250\left(\mathrm{~m}, 48 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of the isopropyl group), 1.466 (br, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of dippe), 1.637 (d, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of dippe), 2.097 (br, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of the isopropyl group), 2.184 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ of the isopropyl group). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 162 MHz, THF- $\left.d_{8},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 71.39\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}}=\right.$ 23.0 Hz ), 75.13 (t, $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}}=23.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Anal. Calcd (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: 50.73$ (49.89) C, 9.57 (9.97) H.

VT-NMR Experiments of the Reaction of 1 with Biphenyl-2-thiol. Compound $\mathbf{1}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF$d_{8}$ in an NMR tube, and the solution was cooled to $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dry ice/acetone bath for a period of 10 min . Biphenyl-2-thiol ( 0.0143 $\mathrm{g}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added at low temperature. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra were recorded every $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as the reaction mixture was warmed from -80 to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the NMR probe.

Preparation of $\left[(d i p p e)_{2} \mathbf{N i}_{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}\right.$-H) $(\boldsymbol{\mu}$-S-2-biphenyl) $]$ (3). A THF$d_{8}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol})$ was prepared and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following the procedure described above and biphenyl-2thiol $(0.0143 \mathrm{~g}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol})$ then added. The color of the solution immediately changed from wine-red to green. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra were immediately determined at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as compound 3 decomposes rapidly. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, THF- $d_{8},-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta$ -15.865 (quint, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{H}\right), 0.870-1.236$ (br, $48 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of the isopropyl group), 1.471 (br, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of dippe), $1.922(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of the isopropyl group), $6.778(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.851$ (bs, 1H), $7.203\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.283(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.722(\mathrm{~d}$, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.931 (bs, 1H). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 162 MHz , THF- $d_{8},-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 68.66$ (bs).

Reaction of 1 with 4 equiv of Biphenyl-2-thiol at Room Temperature; Selective Preparation of [(dippe)Ni( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{1}$-S-biphe-nyl-2-thiolate) $)_{2}$ ] (6). A THF- $d_{8}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol})$ was prepared at room temperature as described above and biphenyl-2-thiol $(0.0572 \mathrm{~g}, 0.310 \mathrm{mmol})$ added. The color of the solution immediately changed from wine-red to red and a red precipitate was produced. Analysis of the NMR spectra of the mixture showed 6 to be the sole product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, THF- $d_{8}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta$ $1.09-1.291\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of the isopropyl group), $1.616\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}\right.$ $=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of dippe), $2.271(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of the isopropyl group), $6.711(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.804\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $7.068\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}} 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.142\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.225$


Figure 4. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ VT-NMR follow-up of the reaction 1 with 2 equiv of biphenyl-2-thiol, dissolved in THF- $d_{8}$.

Scheme 3. Reactivity of 6 in the Presence of 1, at Room Temperature

$\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.875\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (162 MHz, THF- $d_{8}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 78.39$ (s).

Independent Preparation of [(dippe)Ni( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{1}}$-S-biphenyl-2-thiolate) $)_{2}$ (6). A 50 mL Schlenk flask was charged with biphenyl-2-thiol ( $0.0286 \mathrm{~g}, 0.154 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ). The solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dry ice/acetone bath and $n$-butyllithium ( $1.6 \mathrm{M}, 0.115 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was slowly added under constant stirring over a period of 5 min . A suspension of [(dippe) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ ] ( $0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0766 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) was also added slowly to the mixture and then allowed to warm to room temperature. The color of the solution changed from orange to red after a period of 15 min . The solution was stirred for 30 min and filtered through a frit charged with neutral alumina. The solvent was removed in vacuo from the filtrate, leaving a solid red residue which was redissolved in a small amount of THF and stored in a freezer at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yielding single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.09-1.291(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of the isopropyl group), $1.616\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of dippe), $2.271(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of the isopropyl group), 6.711 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.804\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.068\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.142\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.225\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.875\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, THF- $d_{8}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 145.82 (s), 145.61 (s), 145.28 (s), 138.40 (s), 131.40 (s), 129.48 (s), 127.54 (s), 126.20 (s), 126.03 (s), 122.69 (s), 26.79 $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 20.31(\mathrm{~s}), 18.97$ (s). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(162 \mathrm{MHz}$, THF- $d_{8}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 78.39$ (s). Anal. Calcd (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NiP}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : 65.99 (64.55) C, 7.28 (7.33) H. E.I. $=m / z 690$.

Crystal Structure Determinations. A single crystal was placed onto the tip of a 0.1 mm diameter glass fiber in each structure determination and then mounted on a Bruker SMART APEX II CCD Platform diffractometer for data collection. The latter was performed at $100.0(1) \mathrm{K}$ in the case of 3 and $105.0(1) \mathrm{K}$ in the case of 6. ${ }^{16}$ A preliminary set of cell constants and an orientation matrix were calculated from reflections harvested from three orthogonal sets of 20 frames. The data collections were carried out using Mo K $\alpha$ radiation (graphite monochromator) with frame times of 30 s for 3 and 90 s for 6 and a detector distance of 5.0 cm . A randomly oriented region of reciprocal space was surveyed, and four major sections of frames were collected with $0.50^{\circ}$ steps in $\omega$ at four different $\phi$ settings and a detector position of $-33^{\circ}$ in $2 \theta$.
(15) Scott, F.; Krüger, C.; Betz, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 387, 113. (16) APEX2 V2.1-0; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems: Madison, WI, 2006.

The intensity data were corrected for absorption. ${ }^{17}$ Final cell constants were calculated from the $x y z$ centroids of a sampling of strong reflections from the actual data collection after integration. ${ }^{18}$ Each structure was solved using $\operatorname{SIR} 97{ }^{19}$ and refined using SHELXL-97. ${ }^{20}$ The space groups $P 2_{1} / c$ for 3 and $F d d 2$ for $\mathbf{6}$ were determined on the basis of systematic absences and intensity statistics. A direct-methods solution was calculated which provided most non-hydrogen atoms from the E-map. Full-matrix leastsquares/difference Fourier cycles were performed which located the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms with relative isotropic displacement parameters. The bridging hydride atom in $\mathbf{3}$ was found from the difference Fourier map and refined independently from all atoms with an individual isotropic displacement parameter. The final full matrix least-squares refinement converged to R1 values of 0.0447 for 3 and 0.0471 for $6\left(F^{2}\right.$, $I>2 \sigma(I))$ and wR2 values of 0.1079 for 3 and 0.0863 for $6\left(F^{2}\right.$, all data).
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